| | Case 3:14-cv-00149-MMD-WGC Document 10 Filed 12/17/14 Page 1 of 2 |
|----|--|
| | |
| 1 | |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 7 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA |
| 8 | KIRT D. ROBINSON,) 3:14-cv-00149-MMD-WGC |
| 10 | Plaintiff,) NOTICE AND ORDER |
| 11 | VS. |
| 12 | SHANNON HOWELL, |
| 13 | Defendant. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | ORDER |
| 16 | In this case, Plaintiff has sued Shannon Howell for alleged civil rights deprivations. (Doc. # 4.) |
| 17 | After leave was provided Plaintiff to proceed, Plaintiff was provided instructions with regard to service |
| 18 | on the defendant. (Doc. # 3.) Because no service had been effected on defendant Howell, this court |
| 19 | issued its notice regarding possible dismissal of Plaintiff's action. (Doc. # 7.) |
| 20 | Plaintiff responded to the court's Rule 4(m) notice by attaching several documents from what |
| 21 | appear to be attempts at service upon Ms. Howell and also upon a "Mr. Nagel." "Mr. Nagel," however, |
| 22 | is <u>not</u> a defendant in this case; he is only named in another of Plaintiff's civil rights cases, 3:13-cv- |
| 23 | 00422-MMD-WGC. The service documents as to Mr. Nagel in this case are irrelevant. ² |
| 24 | With regard to the one person who is a defendant in this case, Shannon Howell, Plaintiff was |
| 25 | advised in case no. 3:13-cv-00422-MMD-WGC that Ms. Howell no longer works at the Washoe County |
| 26 | Detention facility, and that when she did work there, she was an independent contractor associated with |
| 27 | |
| 28 | ¹ Refers to court's docket number. |
| | ² See this court's orders entered this date in Robinson v. Nagel, 3:13-cv-00422-MMD-WGC. (Doc. ## 19. 20) |

| 1 | Corizon Health. (3:13-cv-00422-MMD-WGC; Doc. # 18 at 1; see Doc. # 17 at 3, 4.) |
|--------|---|
| 2 | It is Plaintiff's obligation to effect service on defendant Howell. As Plaintiff was previously |
| 3 | advised, |
| 4 | Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m) provides, in part, as follows: |
| 5 | [I]f a defendant is not served within 120 days after the complaint is filed, the court – on |
| 6 7 | motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff – must dismiss the action without prejudice against the defendant or order that service be made within a specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period |
| 8 | Doc. # 7. |
| 9 | The 120 day time period referred to in Doc.# 7 has already expired. It does not appear from the |
| 10 | record Plaintiff has completed service on Ms. Howell after being advised she no longer works out of th |
| 11 | Washoe County Detention facility. Although Plaintiff's action is subject to a dismissal at this time |
| 12 | notice is given to Plaintiff that this action shall be dismissed without prejudice as to Ms. Howell unles |
| 13 | on or before February 14, 2015, proof of service of Plaintiff's complaint upon defendant Howell ha |
| 14 | been filed with the court, or good cause is shown why such service was not made prior to the deadline |
| 15 | Failure to comply with this Notice and Order shall result in the automatic dismissal of this action |
| 16 | without prejudice. |
| 17 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 18 | DATED: December 17, 2014. |
| 19 | WILLIAM G. COBB |
| 20 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

Case 3:14-cv-00149-MMD-WGC Document 10 Filed 12/17/14 Page 2 of 2